PROB 12C (7/93)

United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Western District OF TEXAS

Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision

				ED 04 OD 25/2/ EN02		
Name of Offender: $\frac{A}{T}$	<u>nderson, Mary I</u> N: BRENDA M		ie Marie Engram,	EP-06-CR-2563(-FM)2		
			nk Montalvo, United States D	District Judge		
Date of Original Senter	nce: June 26, 2	2007		<u> </u>		
Original Offense: Cons	spiracy to Impor	t a quantity of m	arijuana, in violation of 21 L	J.S.C. § 952, 960 and 963		
Original Sentence: 51	months imprisor	nment; 4 years si	upervised release; \$ 100.00 s	pecial assessment;		
Type of Supervision: Supervised Release			Date Supervision Commenced: September 2, 2010			
Assistant U.S. Attorney: Patricia J. Acosta			Defense Attorney: <u>Luis E. Islas</u>			
	-	PETITIO	NING THE COURT			
X To issue a warrant To issue a summon	as					
The probation officer b	elieves that the	offender has viol	ated the following condition((s) of supervision:		
<u>Violation Number</u>	Nature of No	ncompliance				
1	"The defendant shall participated in a program approved by the probation officer for the treatment of drug or alcohol dependency which may include testing and examination to determine if the defendant has reverted to the use of drugs or alcohol. The defendant may be required to contribute to the costs of services rendered in an amount to be determined by the probation officer, based on the defendant's ability to pay."					
	p ositive for n	nethamphetamin	vember 29, 2011, the offender e. Both tests were substantiate hetamine despite the lab conf	r submitted urine specimen which tested d by GCMS at the national lab. Offender firmation.		
2	"The offend associate wi probation o	th any person o	ciate with any persons enga convicted of a felony unless	ged in criminal activity, and shall not s granted permission to do so by the		
	On February instructed no	7, 2011 she wont to associate, ev	as counseled for associating en with coworkers.	with felon that she worked with and		

PRÒB 12C (7/93)

3

On November 9, 2011, offender reported to the office with another offender. She was counseled about violating the conditions and was admonished not to associate with known felons.

On November 14, 2011, the probation officer conducted a home visit and saw another offender, Derrick Lowe, in her home. Officer is aware that the offender has knowledge of Mr. Lowes status as an offender. She had been previously admonished not to associate with any other felons

"The defendant shall answer all questions truthfully by the probation officer, and follow the instructions of the probation officer."

On the November Monthly Report Forms for 2010 and 2011, the offender denied any drug use. The lab confirmed methamphetamine use at 92% and 95% respectively.

U.S. Probation Officer Recommendation: The offender has an extensive history of drug use and criminal activity. She has been closely supervised since she began supervision, because she has been prescribed the drug Adderall which is an amphetamine derivative drug, and because she has a history of abusing prescription medication.

According to her presentence report she reported ingesting methamphetamine since age 40, and she used it daily until her arrest in the instant offense. In relation to her positive specimen on November 5, 2010 which was confirmed, it indicates she began using it again 2 months after she started supervision. All of her specimen have returned positive and was substantiated by the Amphetamine prescription, except the two that were submitted for confirmation. Offender adamantly denies use.

While using illicit drugs she is a threat to society and has a security clearance through her employment that allows her to enter into state and federal buildings, that she would not otherwise have if it were known she was using illicit substances. Therefore, we request a warrant to show cause as to why the offender's supervision should not be revoked.

Additionally, we are respectfully requesting an intra-district jurisdictional transfer so the revocation proceedings can be held here in San Antonio.

x The term of supervision should be	
	s imprisonment; <u>Lifetime</u> years supervised release monetary sanction previously imposed)
extended for years, for a total ter	m of years.
The conditions of supervision should be modified a	follows:

Approved:

Isabel Pruneda

Supervising U.S. Probation Officer

Telephone: (210) 472-6590, Ext. 5329

Respectfully submitted,

Tracy L. Tate

U.S. Probation Officer

Telephone: (210) 472-6590, Ext. 5317

Date: December 13, 2011

PRÓB (7/93)	12C	Case 3:06-cr-02563-FM	Docu	ment 80	Filed 12/27/11	Page 3 of 3
cc:		J. Acosta, nt U.S. Attorney				•
-		Contreras, nt Deputy Chief U.S. Probation Off	icer			
THE	COURT	ORDERS:				
No action.						
U 1	he issuar	nce of a warrant.				
The issuance of a summons.						
	Other _	<u> </u>				
						Frank Montalvo U.S. District Judge
						December 21, 2011